MATERIAL FACTS PURSUANT TO LOCAL CIVIL RULE 56.1

PLAINTIFFS' STATEMENT OF

Drobenko & Associates, P.C. Attorney for the Plaintiff Walter Drobenko, Esq. 25-84 Steinway Street Astoria, N.Y. 11103 (718) 721-2000

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Southern

Plaintiff, Rajko Ljutica

District of

Alberto R. Gonzales,

U.S. Attorney General

Michael Chertoff,

Secretary, Department of Homeland Security Eduardo Aguirre

Director, U.S. Citizenship &Immigration Services

Andrea J. Qurantillo

Field Office Director, New York, USCIS

CASE NUMBER

07 CV. 6129

Defendants.

Drobenko & Associates, P.C. state that there is no genuine issue to be tried with respect to the Southern District of New York, Plaintiff Rajko LJUTICA, by his attorney, Walter Drobenko, Pursuant to Civil Rule 56.1 of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the following material facts: 1. By indictment filed on December 23, 1991, LJUTICA was charged, along with co-defendant Jillian Nuttbrock, with conspiracy and bank fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 and 18 U.S.C. § 1344 and 2. See Indictment, dated December 23, 1991 as Exhibit "A" attached. Document 21

- On May 26, 1993, LJUTICA entered a plea agreement with the Government to plead guilty to count two of the indictment, bank fraud, in satisfaction of the charges against him. See Plea Agreement, dated May 20, 1993 as Exhibit "B" attached.  $\stackrel{<}{\sim}$
- figure to be applied to the offense level table of § 2F1.1(b) is \$475,025.25". See Transcript In the agreement, LJUTICA stipulated that "an acceptable method of determining the 'loss' of Plea, dated May 26, 1993 as Exhibit "C" attached p12 11-15.p.2 #2
- acknowledging that "On April 24, 1990, I went to Manufacturers Hanover and attempted to transferred into it." See Transcript of Plea, dated May 26, 1993 as Exhibit "C" attached p12 withdraw \$375,000 from an account which contained \$475,000, money my wife had On May 26, 1993, LJUTICA entered a guilty plea to count two of the indictment, 11-15 4
- \$475,000 to an account maintained by my husband. I has no authority to transfer these funds and I know what I did was wrong.". See Transcript of Plea, dated May 26, 1993 as Exhibit authorization which I, I used one of these letters of authorization to transfer approximately On May 26, 1993, Ms. Jillian Nuttbrock acknowledged that, "In April 1990, I was an employee of Paine Webber Securities in New York City. I had access to letters of "C" attached p.12 19-25
- trial, your Honor, produce the documentary trail that includes the letters of authorization that maintained by Paine Webber into an account that was opened several weeks earlier by Mr. Ljutica and, further, the first attempt failed, it was then attempted again-the first attempt On May 26, 1993, AUSA Coffey stated, "The government would, among other things, directed an arm of Paine Webber to transfer approximately \$475,000 in an account <u>ن</u>

failed, there was an attempt again on Monday. The money was wired into the account". See Transcript of Plea, dated May 26, 1993 as Exhibit "C" attached p.13 4-12

- United States District Judge Shirley Wohl Kram to sixteen months in prison and two years of supervised release. See Judgment In a Criminal Case, dated December 16,2003, attached as On December 16, 1993, LJUTICA was convicted upon his guilty plea, and sentenced by Exhibit "E" sub "D" to Plaintiff's Complaint. ۲.
- citizen of the United States, pursuant to INA §§ 310 and 316, 8 U.S.C. §§ 1421 & 1427. See Application for Naturalization, dated March 28, 2005, attached as Exhibit "A" to Plaintiff's On March 28, 2005, LJUTICA submitted an application to CIS to become a naturalized Complaint
- On September 18, 2006, the District Director of CIS's New York District issued an initial convicted of an aggravated felony. See Decision, dated September 18,2006, attached as decision denying LJUTICA'S naturalization application on the ground that he had been Exhibit "D" to Plaintiff's Complaint. 9.
- 10. On October 16,2006, LJUTICA filed a timely administrative appeal of CIS's decision by requesting an N-336 hearing. See Request for a Hearing on a Decision in Naturalization Proceedings, dated October 11, 2006, attached as Exhibit "E" to Plaintiff's Complaint.
- LIUTICA'S naturalization application, again finding that LIUTICA'S 1993 conviction constituted an aggravated felony and rendered him statutorily incligible for naturalization. See Decision on Review of Denial of Naturalization Application, dated March 9,2007, attached as Exhibit 11. On March 9, 2007, the District Director issued a final decision confirming the initial denial of "G" to Plaintiff's Complaint.

Dated: New York, New York October 1, 2007

By:

Respectfully submitted,

Walter Drobenko, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Drobenko & Associates, P.C.
25-84 Steinway Street
Astoria, N.Y. 11103

OF NEW YORK COURT DISTRICT UNITED S' SOUTHERN

AMERICA E G STATES UNITED

500 plat Rici FILED \$2 \$3 出

INDICIMENT

"Jillian NUTTBROCK, a/k/a "J: " and RAJKO LJUTICA JILLIAN Ljutica, Defendants

S.

#### COUNT ONE

charges: Jury Grand The

and the United and others known and unknown, unlawfully, includoffense against ij confederate, and 18, District and Title Ljutica," up to of Southern conspire, 1989, ап to violate Section 1344 "Jillian commit October, the combine, other to ü a/k/a/ about 1990, did and with each 26, ö NUTTBROCK, knowingly defendants, about April From in namely, and agree together JILLIAN States, the ÷. Code. willfully, OL LJUTICA, о П United States York, ing

the artifice other JILLIAN namely, unlawfully, LJUTICA, and that institution, and securities would scheme conspiracy RAJKO execute a unknown, and financial assets, the Ljutica," of and attempt to credits, ದ object known of "Jillian the custody an others and knowingly funds, Was a/k/a/ Ħ money, and under defendants, M MUTTBROCK, Si defendants, willfully, obtain property ç

Street, New York, insured false of the deposits of which were then means 2 Rector ζq Corporation, Security Pacific National Trust Company, Insurance "Bank"), Deposit (the Federal York New **DEC 54** 1991

and

þ

WICE

violation in promises, Code and 18, United States representations, Title pretenses, of 1344 frandulent Section

### ACTS

- Southern and | unknown, effect JILLIAN NUTTBROCK, a/k/a/ "Jillian Ljutica," the and to <u>:</u> others known others, In furtherance of said conspiracy among and acts, defendants, overt following of New York: the thereof, LJUTICA, committed the District RAJKO
  - Trust Bank defendant New a Manufacturers Hanover the Americas, the 1990, of 18, 1230 Avenue about April at account office located at ö an opened LJUTICA branch York
- account JILLIAN initiated defendant from an her fraudulently of \$475,025.25 client the (a) account described in subparagraph 1990, Ljutica," ğ approximately Bank about April 20, Pacific "Jillian Security transfer or a/k/a, СO at t ۵ maintained procedures NUTTBROCK the

Section States Code, United 18,

charges: further Grand Jury

the ဌ about credits, attempt LJUTICA, or custody C funds, did and the RAJKO New knowingly 1990, money, of under and 18, Southern District obtain and property about April Ljutica," willfully, artifice to other "Jillian Ö Between on unlawfully, the and and ij securities a/k/a/ scheme 1990, defendants, NUTTBROCK, ದ 26,

COH A TRUE MATE Case 1:07-cv-06129-JSF

and

1344

Sections

Code,

Title 18, United States

Trust

Security Pacific National

namely,

institution,

financial

Deposit

Federal

insured by the

then

which were

of

deposits

New York (the "Bank"),

York,

Street, New

Rector

Ń

Company,

the JILLIAN NUTTBROCK, initiating a fraudulent wire transfer directing the Bank Corporation, by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, owned by þ controlled the defendant, at another financial insitution to transfer approximately \$475,025.25 from an account not account maintained and ģ namely, and promises, defendant, RAJKO LJUTICA, defendants into an representations, the

t Otto 6.06

FOREPERSON

Filed 10/01/2007 Page 9 of 28

## U.S. Department of Justice

United States Attorney Southern District of New York

The Silvio J. Mollo Building One Saint Andrew's Plaza New York, New York 10007

May 20, 1993

David W. Schmidt, Esg. 321 Broadway New York, New York 10007 e: United States v. Rajko Ljutica 91 Cr. 1029 (SWK)

Dear Mr. Schmidt:

unlawfully, willfully, and have astody of a financial institutions scheme to obtain money under the custody of a financial institution by means of insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation by means of insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation a fraudulent wire false pretenses, namely, by Nuttbrock initiating a fraudulent wire fransfer directing the transfer of approximately \$475,025.25 from transfer directing the transfer defendants into an account maintained transfer directing the defendants into an account maintained and Ljutica, Count carries execute in violation the Southern District of New York, RAJKO LJUTICA Jillian Nuttbrock, a/k/a "Jillian Liutic a guilty plea from RAJKO LJUTICA to Count New York Office maximum charges ţ theof imprisonment, a m ent that Ljutica institution, This Count Two District specified below, Southern Distric a/k/a did and financial **SS 1344** indictment. of thirty years' understandings the Code, another for above-referenced RAJKO LIUTICA at anot le 18, United States States Attorney accept in the on the Title 18, United maximum sentence Will Will co-defendant "Office") maximum United ğ

above the will Sentencing, wil LJUTICA RAJKO LJUTICA'S against of time count oŧ the consideration at office, the the indictment. offense, dismiss

S 681.4 Guidelines following: Sentencing Pursuant to Sestipulate to the hereby 1, effect November in a charged in resulting ä. Sentencing Guideline § 2F1.1(a) (as 1992) is applicable to the Offense (SWK) 1029 applicable 9 ij Indictment . 13 1992) of



Esq schmidt, 1993 20, David Page May

- scheme applied \$475,025.25, the level had offense table of § 2F1.1(b) is \$4 ants would have realized successful "loss" figure 2F1.1(b) in the 당 been O of the circumstances Two in an increase defendants determining Count ij the offense the the of charged results method amount Under
- than minimal planning; pursuant sults in an increase of 2 in the this results involved more 2F1.1(b)(2), level offense offense Ś The ۵
- her of guilty, which notification and the Court to allocate its reduction in the offense responsibility is warranted under Court, timely notification the ţ acceptable 3E1.1(b) level of defendant's allocution to enter a plea t the Government а 3 S level for acceptance of resources efficiently, Guidelines a plea of the will permit ಥ entencing intention Assuming in light
- theabove, 4 through level Н In accordance with paragraphs applicable Guidelines offense Ŋ
- office, I. the Category ဌ available . Ω nformation presently avai Criminal History category information Ljutica's on Based O
- Sentencing individual the an þλ for established 14 level months offense 21 range ဍ 15 Guidelines for S Commission  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}}$ Category ~

Will described party sentencing range neither that seek any departure from the Guidelines sin paragraph 7 above.

es §6B1.4(d), it is Probation Department as to correct Guidelines and/or a11 and either in this Agreement Judge any sentencing in writing, Stipulations, that to RAJKO LJUTICA'S Guidelines the criminal history category, to the facts. Further, nothing office to present to the ment, either orally or as to determination nor Guidelines sentencing. Court Sentencing facts relevant to sentencing, the foregoing of neither ţ the facts. time Department, or. Pursuant by the f the the to Ljutica's that a of ţ office understood questions to apply t  $\bar{r}$ ight Probation bound the the

upon ងន imposed or representation sentencing þ ţ sentence the promise solely by the does not make any receive that determined understood will Ljutica and 15 ij LJUTICA sentence Office cannot H RAJKO

David W. Schmidt, Es May 20, 1993 Page -3-

each reserve concerning parties adjustments, arguments Probation above, Guidelines appropriate referred event any all the not make ü calculations right to make contemplates

calculated in Guidelines analysis different from a sentence by appeal and previous sentence, Court Notwithstanding the previous sentencunderstood and agreed that neither party will sentencing through 7 should the th that range by in paragraphs 1 within even agreed t Department reach that that set forth that

orat the right regarding at what point within paragraphs 1 through 7 above, or determine, reserve may Court each paragraphs 1 as the Cour LJUTICA and RAJKO arguments RAJKO sentencing range calculated in sentenced range sentencing Office all appropriate should be The other make

sentence ultimately paragraphs Probation Department, will range calculated in in Criminal LJUTICA to withdraw his plea recommendation. should the understood further understood that RAJKO ð recommendation Rules Court of guilty from the further Federal permitted on the the Court differ <u>1</u>8 right to withdraw his plea imposed by the Court differ binding not be 11 (e) not 11(e)(1)(B) 15 with

Esq. Schmidt, 1993 David W. May 20, Page -4understandings as set forth or than promises, agreements RAJKO LJUTICA other no and There are he Office the between herein.

Very truly yours,

Attorney S. na. HAYES ROGER S United

Assistan (212) 79 VOLIN

APPROVED:

Attorney

States

Crimes c. MccAF General ANDREW Chief,

DATE

CONSENTED TO: ANA AGREED

RAJKO

APPROVED:

HMIDT, ESQ. For RAJKO

LJUTICA Attorney

н	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
i	AN DISTE
8	X
ო	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
4	v. 91 Cr. 1029 (SWK
្ស	JILLIAN NUTTBROCK, a/k/a "Jillian
9	Ljutica," and Kajko Ljutica,
7	Defendants.
α	X
0 0	May 26, 1993
ת	
10	Before:
ŢŢ	HON. SHIRLEY WOHL KRAM
12	District Judge
13	
14	APPEARANCES
r L	
} ;	MARY JO WHITE
97	ited states othern Distr
17	JOHN P. COFFEY
18	
19	
20	rney ior Derendant Nuane Street
!	New York, New York
21	DAVID W. SCHMIDT, ESO.,
22	orney for
23	

н	THE COURT: Both defendants are pleading guilty
73	to Count 2 of the indictment?
ო	MS. PARSONS: That's correct, your Honor.
4	MR. SCHMIDT: That's correct, your Honor.
, ro	THE COURT: Then I will address my questions to
ω	both of the defendants.
7	It is Jillian Nuttbrock and Rajko Ljutica?
ω	You don't use the name Nuttbrock.
Q	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: No.
10	MS. PARSONS: Nuttbrock is my clients maiden
11	name.
12	THE COURT: I think we better use that name for
13	both of them under the circumstances.
14	Do you understand that I have been informed that
15	you wish to plead guilty to Count 2 of this indictment?
16	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA; Yes, I do, your Honor.
17	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Before accepting your guilty pleas,
19	there are a number of questions I have to ask you. If you
20	don't understand my question or you want an opportunity to
21	speak to your lawyer, please tell me, because it's essential
22	for a valid plea that you understand everything I'm saying.
23	BY THE COURT:
2.4	Q. Mrs. Ljutica, how old are you?
25	A. Thirty years old.

<b>ल</b> .	O 4	And how many grades did you complete in school?
ო		OURT: Excuse me. I want
4	JILLIÀN LJU	LJUTICA and RAJKO LJUTICA.
ın		the defendants, having first been duly sworn,
9		were examined and testified as follows.
7		THE COURT: How old are you?
8		DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: I'm 35.
Q		THE COURT: And you?
10	•	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Thirty.
ដ	•	THE COURT: And how many grades did you complete
12	in school?	
13		DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: I completed high school
14	and I comple	completed nautical superior maritime school and then I
15	graduated fo	for master of foreign going, which is captain of
. 16	any vessel,	vessel, which is another
17	e.	THE COURT: Do you have any problem understanding
18	English?	
19	IJ	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: No, I don't, your Honor.
20	<b>.</b>	THE COURT: You understand everything that is
21	happening he	here?
22		DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: Yes, I do.
, 23	H	THE COURT: You understand everything I'm saying?
24	Д	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: Yes, I do, your Honor.
25	H	THE COURT: And would you tell me how many grades

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS (212) 637-0300

school?

ייין

completed

you

러	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Do you feel you discussed it
<b>e</b>	adequately?
4	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.
្ធ	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: Yes, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your
7	attorneys' representation of you?
ω	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: Yes, I do, your Honor.
୍ର	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.
10	THE COURT: I want you to understand if you are
11	not satisfied, the court can appoint other counsel for you
12	at no cost to you.
13	Do you understand that?
14	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.
15	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: Yes.
<b>9</b> T	THE COURT: I am now going to make sure that you
17	are aware of your constitutional rights.
18	Do you understand that under the Constitution an
19	the laws of the United States, you are entitled to a trial
20	by a jury on the charges contained in this indictment?
21	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.
22	THE COURT: You have to say yes or no, please.
23	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Do you understand at that trial you
25	would be presumed to be innocent and the government would b

10

13

15

16

77

beyond

evidence

competent

ζq

guilty

you

prove

<u>ب</u>

reguired

and that you wanted testify drawn yourself Ď, ¥7 ţ testify could right not incriminate guilt ဌ the right testify? ţ have suggestion compelled have the Would you did not won1d also not to be g inference you you that right fact

Yes LJUTICA: J. DEFENDANT 13 20

LJUTICA:

ď

DEFENDANT

21

government the beyond that Do you understand you against case its COURT: prove THE t t have would 22 23

the jurors? all doubt 24

25

LJUTICA: J DEFENDANT That's correct, your Honor

PARSONS:

MS.

guidelines

This is

THE COURT:

DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA:

You will be

All right.

THE COURT:

Ŋ	under the sentencing guidelines.
9	Has your attorney explained to you how the
	sentencing guidelines operate and how they would affect your
ω	case?
Q	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.
10	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: Yes, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Do you understand that a sentencing
12	recommendation will be made in accordance with the
13	sentencing guidelines and I retain the discretion under
14	certain circumstances to impose the recommended sentence or
15	lesser or greater sentence up to the maximum permitted by
16	law, and if I impose a lesser sentence the government has a
17	right to appeal, if I impose a sentence which is greater
18	than the recommended sentence, you have the right to appeal?
19	Do you understand that?
20	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.
21	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: Yes, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Do you understand under the
23	sentencing guidelines parole has been abolished and if you
. 24	are sentenced to prison, you will not be released on parole?
ļ	

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS (212) 637-0300

DEFENDANT J.

ω

Honor

your

LJUTICA:

DEFENDANT R.

that?

understand

You

COURT:

there

accept your Honor right guilty just discussed, recommendation? after your and, I waive your judgment of understand. Do you understand that? your guilty plea ďo, guilty Н Н Yes, Yes, that you will have guideline plead Œ н enter Н LJUTICA: LJUTICA: LJUTICA: rights If you sentence you on the basis of will sentencing understand ŗ, DEFENDANT J. the other ŭ н COURT: COURT: and DEFENDANT DEFENDANT trial considering the all you 20 and ф рe will 10 Φ 11

your accept guilty and I you plead Ήŧ THE COURT: 122 13 14

your about guilty 40 acknowledge questions are right you that your ask you ţ ţ will have satisfy myself waive will ţ н you will have yon that that ţ understand order yourself, that in did you incriminate charged, you g what as 15 16 17

false used could be perjury statements you make today for you against proceedings any court that and future guilt in 18 19

statement? 20

21

22

Honor I understand. your Yes, LJUTICA: LJUTICA: <u>ن</u> œ DEFENDANT DEFENDANT

you have right the understand that and guilty not fully 4. O plea Do you your maintain COURT: THE 40 right 233 24

indictment? this counts of all ģ trial to 25

637-0300 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS (212)

R. LJUTICA:	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.	THE COURT: Now that I have told you your rights,	do you still want to plead guilty?	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: Yes, I do, your Honor.	THE COURT: Now, you both received a copy of the	indictment?	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: Yes, I do.	THE COURT: And have you read it?	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.	THE COURT: And do you understand it?	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: Yes.	THE COURT: And you discussed that indictment	with your lawyer?	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.	THE COURT: And you specifically discussed Count	2 to which you are pleading guilty?	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: Yes.	THE COURT: Do you have any questions about it?	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: No.
ਜ	<b>N</b>	m	, <b>4</b>	ហ	9	7	æ	Q	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS (212) 637-0300

H	THE COURT: Do you understand that Count 2
N	carries a maximum sentence of 30 years imprisonment, a
ന	maximum fine of \$1 million, supervised release of five year
4	and a mandatory \$50 special assessment, which you have
ស	agreed to pay prior to the imposition of sentence?
<b>9</b>	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.
7.	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: Yes.
œ	THE COURT: In addition, you should be aware that
O	if the terms and conditions of the supervised release term
10	are violated, you may be required to serve a further term of
11	imprisonment equal to the period of supervised release with
12	no credit for the time already spent on release?
13	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.
14	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: Yes, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or anyone
16	else or forced you in any way to plead guilty?
17	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: No.
18	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: No, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: This agreement that I have, which is
20	dated May 24, 1993 and executed by the defendants May 26,
21	1993, is this the full agreement between the parties?
22	Counsel?
23	MS. PARSONS: Yes, your Honor, that is the full
24	agreement.
25	MR. COFFEY: That agreement is between

<b>н</b>	Ms. Ljutica and the government, your Honor. There is a
73	separate agreement for
ო	THE COURT: Yes. I'm sorry, his agreement is May
4	20, 1993.
'n	Let me see when you executed that one.
9	You executed it on May 26 as well.
7	This is the full agreement between this defendant
œ	and the government?
<b>o</b> .	MR. SCHMIDT: It is, your Honor.
,	THE COURT: Counsel?
11	MR. COFFEY: Yes, it is, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: All right. Has anyone made any
13	promise to you other than what is included in this agreement
14	to induce you to plead guilty?
15	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: No.
16	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: No, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Has anyone made any prediction,
18	prophesy or promise to you as to what your sentence will be?
19	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: No.
20	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: No, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Do you understand that any
. 22	recommendation of sentence agreed to by either the
23	prosecution or any agreement that the prosecution will not
24	oppose your attorneys' requested sentence or anything
25	contained in these plea agreements or anyone's predictions

러	are not binding on the court and that you might on the basis
N.	of your guilty plea receive up to the maximum sentence I
m	described to you earlier?
4	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: I understand
Ŋ	THE COURT: You understand that?
9	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: Yes, I do, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: All right.
ω	Will you explain to me in your own words what it
Q	is you did and how you violated the law?
10	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: Yes, your Honor.
11	On April 24, 1990, I went to Manufactures Hanover
12	and attempted to withdraw \$375,000 from an account which
13	contained \$475,000, money my wife had transferred into it.
14	I knew that this money had been fraudulently transferred to
15	the account. This occurred in New York County.
16	THE COURT: Does that cover it?
17	MR. COFFEY: Yes, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: All right.
19	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: In April 1990, I was an
20	employee of Paine Webber Securities in New York City. I had
21	access to letters of authorization which I, I used one of
22	these letters of authorization to transfer approximately
23	\$475,000 to an account maintained by my husband. I had no
24	authority to transfer these funds and I know what I did was
25	wrong.

government's

summary of

What would be

All right.

THE COURT:

ო	evidence against these defendants?
4	MR. COFFEY: The government would, among other
ιΩ	things, at trial, your Honor, produce the documentary trail
9	that includes the letters of authorization that directed an
7	arm of Paine Webber to transfer approximately \$475,000 in an
œ	account maintained by Paine Webber into an account that was
Q	opened several weeks earlier by Mr. Ljutica and, further,
10	the first attempt failed, it was then attempted again the
77	first attempt failed, there was an attempt again on Monday.
12	The money was wired into the account.
13	We would produce a witness that would testify
14	that Mr. Ljutica then attempted to withdraw some funds from
15	that account, but was denied, and the money returned to
' 16	Paine Webber.
17	That's the summary, the gist of the case.
18	THE COURT: Do you dispute anything that the
13	government has indicated to me?
20	MS. PARSONS: No, your Honor.
21	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: No, your Honor.
22	MR. SCHMIDT: No.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	How do you plead to Count 2 of this indictment?
25	DEFENDANT R. LJUTICA: I plead guilty.

THE COURT: And how do you plead to Count 2?	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Guilty.	THE COURT: You plead guilty as well?	DEFENDANT J. LJUTICA: Yes.	THE COURT: I find that you are competent to	plead, that you know your rights and your plea is a	voluntary one and I accept your guilty pleas.	Give us a date for sentence.	THE CLERK: For Ms. Nuttbrock, sentencing date	will be October 20, 1993, for Mr. Ljutica it will be	September 22, 1993.	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.	MR. SCHMIDT: Thank you.	MR. COFFEY: For the record, the government	consents to the defendants remaining on bail until	sentencing.	MR. SCHMIDT: Thank you very much, your Honor.	MS. PARSONS: Thank you, your Honor.
ਜ	2	ო	4	ហ	ø	7	æ	თ	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18